STUDIES ON OXIDATION OF TRITERPENOIDS: PART VII. TRANSFORMATION OF OLEANANE AND URSANE SKELETONS TO 110, 120, -OXIDOTRITERPENOIDS WITH HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AND SELEMIUM DIOXIDE AND THEIR CARBON - 13 NMR DATA.

BHIM PRASAD PRADHAN^{*} and SATYAJIT CHAKRABORTY Department of Chemistry, University of North Bengal, P.O. North Bengal University, Dist. Darjeeling, India, 734 430

and

PETER WEYERSTAHL

Institut für Organische Chemie, Technische Universität Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 12, West Germany.

(Received in UK 27 July 1987)

Abstract - Hydrogen peroxide-selenium dioxide in t-butanol has been found to be a good reagent for the preparation of 114,124, -oxidotriterpencids of oleanane and ursane skeletons; whereas α -amyrin and β -amyrin acetates furnished 11 α , 12 α -epoxyurs- $14-9n-3/3$ -yl acetate ($\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$) and $114, 124$ -epoxytaraxer- $14-9n-3/3$ yl acetate (4g)respectively, uvaol and ursolic acid/methyl ester gave $11\alpha, 12\alpha$ -epoxyurs-28->13-olide-3 β -ol (5d); erythrodiol (3c) and oleanolic acid (3b)/methyl ester (3d) afforded 11d, 12 d -epoxy-oleanan-28->13-olide-3 β -ol (2); olean-12-en- 2α , 3β , $28-y1$ triacetate ($3j$) on similar reaction furmished 11d, 12d-epoxytaraxer-14-en-3ß-ol-2d, 28-yl diacetate (4d) and 11σ , 12σ -epoxytaraxer-14-en-2 σ , 3β -diol-28-yl acetate (4e).

The isolation of a nor-triterpencid peroxide - baccatin (1) has been reported from this laboratory. The compound 1, has been assumed to be formed biogenetically from another triterpenoid acid - sebiferenic acid² 2, present in the same plant. In erder to prepare 1, and 2, from a triterpenoid of known structure, cratagolic acid 3g was chosen for the purpose, which demanded isomerization of the double bend from thermedynamically stable C-12-13 position to less stable position C-14-15. E. J. Corey et al³ reported the transformation of β -amyrin (3) to 11d, 12d epoxytaraxer-14-en-3 β -el $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ by phetoexidation and by chemical methods which involved hydrexylation of C-11 methylene followed by exidation with hydrogen peroxide in presence of p-teluene sulfonic acid/selenium dioxide. The photochemical exidations of cleanclic acid $\sum p$ and erythrodiel $\sum c$ have been studied by I. Kitagawa et al⁴ to furmish 110 , 120 -epexyoleanan-28->13-olide-3 β -ol 5 and 110 , 120 epexy-oleanan-13->28-oxe-3 β -ol ζ_2 . The presence of a C-17 carboxyl group has been

demenstrated to be essential for the formation of 11x, 12x,-epoxy system in the exidation of oleanene and ursene triterpenoids with hydrogen peroxide in presence

of acetic acid by Mazumder et al⁵.

As the earlier methods $4,5$ mentioned above were found to be unsuitable which involved lactenization of the C-17 carboxyl group, we attempted an alternative methed the study of which is the subject matter of the present communication.

It is well known that selerium dioxide is a good reagent for allylic exidation. We assumed that if selenium diexide is used as the exidizing reagent along with hydregen peroxide the initially formed organo-selenic acid weuld form hydroperoxide which would subsequently yield the 11d, 12d-epoxide with simultaneous migration of the deuble bond to the taraxerene system. In order to test the applicability of this assumption the first compound that was examined was β -amyrin acetate λ a,. The preduct obtained after refluxing a solution of 3g with selenium dioxide and hydrogen peroxide in t-butanol for 60 h afforded two compeunds which were separated by column chrematography (CC). The first compound, $C_{7,2}H_{5,0}O_{7,1}$ m.p. 307-08°C; M⁺ 482; IR 1735, 1260 (OAc), 880 (epoxy), 820 ($\text{C=C} <_{\text{U}}$) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR showed the presence of eight tertiary methyl between S 0.82 to 1.10, the acetoxy methyl at 2.06, two pretons that appeared at 2.80 and 3.11 as doublet ($J = 5$ Hz) and triplet ($J =$ 5 Hz) respectively clearly shewed the formation of epoxide at C-11-12 position; the doublet of a doublet at 4.52 was due to preton geminal to the acetoxy group at C-3 position and the doublet of a doublet at 5.55 (J = 3, 10 Hz) integrated for one proton was assigned to the elefinic proton at C-15. The ¹³C NMR signals (Table 1) also showed the presence of two additional doublets at 53.5 and 54.6 ppm which have been assigned to C-12 and C-11 carbens bearing the oxirane ring system. Thus from spectral analysis structure 4a, has been assigned. The pelar compound was analysed for $C_{30}H_{L8}O_2$, M⁺ 440, m.p. 285-6°C; IR 3500 (OH), 880 (epoxide), 820 (C=CH-) cm⁻¹; it was established to be the alcohol \pm , by preparation of its acetate (Py-Ac₂0) which was found to be identical with 4a. Similar reaction on d-amyrin acetate 21 also furnished the 11x, 12x -epoxy-urs-14-en-3/3 -yl acetate 4c and its corresponding hydroxy derivative 4b.

Encouraged by this finding we repeated the reaction on acetyl oleanolic acid 3k which also furnished two compounds, the less polar was analysed for $C_{7,2}E_{LR}O_5$, M^+ 512; m.p. 293-94°C, IR 1765 (Y-lactone), 1720, 1240 (-0Ac), 880 (epoxide) cm⁻¹; it did not respond to TNM test for unsaturation. The spectral data (¹H and mass) have been found to be identical with 11σ , 12σ -epoxy-oleanan-28413-olide-3 β -yl acetate $5b$ reported earlier⁴. The ¹³C NMR signals (Table 1) has been found to be assignable to the structure $5b$. The more polar fraction was analysed for $c_{30}H_{46}O_{4}$ M^{+} 470, m.p. 269-70°C, IR 3530 (OH), 1770 (8-lactone), 880 (epoxide) cm⁻¹; its acetate derivative (Py-Ac₂0) has been found to be identical with $5b$, thus the

Next we ventured our attempt of preparing the epoxy esters $4f/4g$ from methyl esters $2d/3g$ respectively with the hope that the carbomethoxy group would not be Involved during epoxidation with SeO_{2} - H_{2} O₂. But to our surprise methyl acetyl oleanolate 3d on treatment with the reagent formed lactones 5 and 5b. Oxidation of methyl acetyl ursolate \mathfrak{Z}_2 with $\text{SeO}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ formed 11A 124 $-$ epoxy-urs-28 $-$ +13-olide-3 β -yl acetate ζ c and its corresponding alcohol 5d which were identified by spectral analysis. Similar reactions on erythrodiol $\frac{3c}{2}$ and uvaol $\frac{3f}{2}$ also formed $\frac{5}{2}$ and $\frac{5d}{2}$ respectively, instead of forming the corresponding oxide $5a$ and $5c$.

- $R=R^1=R^3+H_1R^2+R^3+H_0$ 4. $\overline{4a}$, R=Ac,R¹=R³=H,R²=R⁴=Me $4b$, R=R¹=R⁴=H, R²=R³=Me $4c$, R=Ac, R¹=R⁴=H, R²=R³=Me $\overline{4d}$, $R=R^{3}=H$, $R^{1}=OAC$, $R^{2}=CH_{2}OAC$, $R^{4}=Me$
	- R=R⊸H, R'=OH, R^e=CH₂OAC,
	- R'=R≚∺H,R=Ac,R°=COOMe,R
	- R'=R™=H,R≖Ac,R=Ac,R°=C00

- $5.$ R=R=H, R¹=0, R³=Me
- 5d, $R=R^2=H$, $R^3=H_2$, $R^3=Me$
- $5b. R=AC, R^1=0. R^2=H, R^3=M_{\odot}$
- $5c$. R=Ac.R'=0,R $5c$.
- $\overline{5d}$, R=R'=H, R'=O, R'=
- $5e$, R=R³=H, R¹=H₂, R²=Me

As it is now evident that the epoxidation of C-11-12 position is accompanied by lactonization in cases where there is a functional group like COOH/COOMe/CH₂OH at the C-17 position of the oleanene/ursene derivatives, we thought of carrying out the reaction on compounds with a CH_2 OAc functional group at C-17 position of oleanene/ursene derivatives, on the assumption that the carbonium ion formed at C-13 position during epoxidation would not be able to undergo electropkillic attack on the *oxygen* atom of the acetoxyl group. This assumption has been found to be true in the following case:

Methyl cratagolate $\frac{3}{2}$ was reduced with LAH to olean-12-en-2d, 3 β , 28-triol $\frac{3}{2}$. which was acetylated to its triacetate \mathfrak{z}_1 . The triacetate \mathfrak{z}_1 was subjected to oxidation with $\text{SeO}_{2^-}H_{2}O_{2}$ when two compounds were separated by CC. The first compound separated was $C_{34}H_{52}O_6$, m.p. 295-96°C, M⁺ 556, IR 3400, 1750, 1720, 1280, 1240, 880, 820 cm^{-1} ; TNM developed a yellow colouration. Thus from above, the presence of hydroxyl, two acetoxyl, an epoxy ring and a trisubstituted olefinic groups were suggested, which were proved by 1 H NMR data: seven tertiary methyls appeared as singlets in the region \S 0.77 to 1.19, two acetoxy methyls at 2.05 and 2.08, the two oxirane protons at C-12 and C-11 as doublet and triplet at 2.80 and 3.13 respectively; the axial proton geminal to the hydroxyl group at C-3 appeared as a doublet at 3.22 ; the two protons that appeared as ABq (J = 10 Hz) centered at 3.7' were assigned to the methylene protons bearing acetoxyl group at C-28; the doublet of a triplet ($J = 2$ and 10 Hz) at 5.03 was due to the proton at C-2 with an equatorial acetoxyl group; the olefinic proton at C-15 appeared as a dd ($J = 2$ and 10 Hz) centered at 5.47 ppm. Thus from 1 H NMR, the diacetate has been established as 114 , 124 -epoxy-taraxer- 14 -en- 36 -ol- 20 , 28 -yl diacetate 44 .

The second compound was analysed for $C_{3,2}H_{5,0}O_5$, M^+ 514, m.p. 266-67°C; IR: 3200-3600(br, OH), 1720, 1250 (-OAc), 880 (epoxy), 820 (C=CH-) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR showed the presence of seven tertiary methyls between \S 0.87 to 1.16, an acetoxy methyl at 2.04, the doublet ($J = 5$ Hz) at 2.80, a triplet ($J = 5$ Hz) at 3.13 were due to one proton each attached to C-12 and C-11 with oxirane ring, the doublet $(J=10$ Hz) at 3.03 was due to axial proton geminal to the hydroxyl group at C-3, the two protons that appeared as ABq centered at 3.72 (J = 14 Hz) were due to methylene protons at C-28 geminal to acetoxyl group, a proton that existed as a doublet of a triplet ($J = 4$ and 12 Hz) at 3.79 was due to the axial proton at C-2 bearing a hydroxyl group and the C-15 olefinic proton appeared as usual at 5.46 ppm. Thus from spectral data the compound has been designated as 11x, 12x-epoxy-taraxer-14-en $-26, 3\beta$ -diol-28-yl acetate μ g. ¹³C NMR signals of the epoxides μ g, μ d, μ g and 5c have been assigned satisfactorily as depicted in Table - 1.

Thus from the above findings it may be concluded that (i) the reaction is analogous to photochemical oxidation^{3,4} in the formation of 11,12-epoxide of oleanene and ursene skeletons, (ii) the C-17 methyl esters also generate lactone rings as

well as the -CH₂OH group, (iii) the primary acetate at C-28 position remains intact whereas the secondary acetate at C-3 undergoes hydrolysis during the reaction and (iv) the acetate group allows smooth isomerization of the double bond from $C-12(13)$ position to $C-14(15)$ position.

Table 1

¹³C NMR shifts assignments (8) of $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{2}$ C (CDCl₃ as solvent with internal TMS) compared with those of 38 and 28.

Discussion of the reaction mechanism: It is evident from the results discussed above that selenium dioxide initially exidises the allylic C-11 methylene to the intermediate organeselenic acid 6 which forms the hydroperexide 7 in all cases of compounds of oleanene and ursene derivatives having deuble bonds at C-12(13) position. The hydroperexide 7 would underge in presence of acid (selenic acid) a concerted elemination of a molecule of water with migration of double bond to C-14(15) position er a carbenium ion may be formed at C-13 position prior to the formation of double bond at $C-14(15)$ position. In the case of oleanolic acid/ methyl ester and ursolic acid/methyl ester the suitably situated carbonyl group at C-28 position acts as a nucleophile on the intermediate carbonium ien $2/8a$ forming lactone derivatives in preference to the taraxerene derivatives. On the other

 10

hand, the acetyl derivative at C-28 (or C-17-CH₂OAc) 9, which also carries a carbonyl group would also be expected to give a product formed from analogous carbonium ion 9 to yield a rearranged intermediate 10 . As no such rearranged product was obtained it may be definitely due to nen-proximity of the acetate carbonyl group from the site of the carbonium ion. Thus the triacetate 31 furnishes the taraxerene derivatives $\underline{4d}$ and $\underline{4e}$ where the functional group at C-28 is not involved in the reaction. The formation of lactones 5 and 5b and 5c and 5d from the methyl esters of oleanolic acid 3d and ursolic acid 3e respectively proceed via the intermediate 8 setting free the methyl carbonium ion. must We have encountered such lactonization of methyl esters during bromination of methyl esters of triterpenoid C-17 carboxylic acids⁸.

EXPERIMENTAL

M.ps are uncorrected. Petroleum ether (PE) used had b.p. 60-80°C. ¹H NMR spectra (internal TMS):in CDCl₃, Bruker WH-400; ¹³C NMR spectra (off resonance, internal TMS): in CDC1₃, Bruker WH-270, with DEPT program; IR spectra: Beckman IR-**20** (Nujol); MS: Varian Mat 711 (70eV). Column chromatography was performed over silica gel. All organic solns after work-up were dried over Na₂SO₄.

General oxidation procedure: A soln of triterpenoid $(0.5 g)$ in t -BuOH (100 ml) was refluxed with SeO₂ (0.2 g) and H_2O_2 (3 ml) for 60 h. The completion of the reaction was Indicated **by** depseltioa of black selenium metal. After recovery **of** the solvent by distillation, the residue was extracted with ether and washed with water. The solvent was removed by distillation and the residue (0.5 g) chromategraphed. Elution **of** the chromatogram with solvent mixtures of different polarities was performed and the residue obtained by removal of solvent mixture **of same** polarity and same Rf value in TLC were combined together and crystallized.

Oxidation of A -amyrin acetate (3a): 3a (0.5 g) was oxidized with SeO₂ (0.2 g) and B_2O_2 (3 ml) in \underline{t} -BuOH and worked-up as detailed above. The chromatogram on elution with PE-benzene (4:1) furnished $\frac{1}{2}$ (0.3 g) which on crystallization with CHCl₃-MeOH furnished 4a of m.p. 307-08°C; m/z: 482 (M⁺), 468, 390, 343, 283, 259, 231, 205, **189,** 175, 135, 108 (base), 95, 81, 69; 'H NMR:s 0.82, 0.86, 0.87, 0.90, 0.97, 1.00, 1.08, 1.10 (8g, 8 Me), 2.05 (g, -0Ac), 2.79 + 2.80 (d, 1H, $J = 5$ Hz), $3.10 + 3.11 + 3.12$ (\underline{t} , $1H$, $J = 5 Hz$), 4.52 ($\underline{d}d$, $1H$, \underline{H} -C-3-OAc), 5.55 ($\underline{d}d$, $1H$, $J =$ 3, 10 HZ) (Found: C, 79.40; H, 10.42 Calcd for C₃₂H₅₀O₃: C, 79.62; H, 10.44%). Further elution with PE-benzene (2:3)eluted $\frac{1}{2}$ (0.25 g) that crystallised from CHCl₃-MeOH, m.p. 284-85[°]C, $\frac{m}{2}$: 440 (M⁺), 425, 407, 389, 300, 257, 203, 189, 150, 133, 108 (base); acetylation with Py-Ac₂0 gave a compound identical ($m, m, p,$, co-IR and $co-TLC$) with $4a$.

Oxidation of α -amyrin acetate (3e): A mixture of 3e (0.5 g), SeO₂ (0.2 g) and H_2O_2 (3 ml) in t-BuOH (100 ml) was refluxed for 60 h. after usual work-up the residue (0.5 g) was chromatographed. PE eluted a solid (0.2 g), m.p. 224-25[°]C identical (m.m.p. and co-IR) with starting material \mathfrak{Z}_{9} . Further elution with PEbenzene (4:1) furnished a solid (0.2 g) which on crystallisation from CHCl₃-MeOH furnished $5c$ of m.p. 213-14°C, (Lit³ m.p. 214-15°C), $\frac{m}{2}$: 482 (M⁺), 468, 408, 390, 343, 283, 259, 231, 205, 189, 108 (base). Further elution with PE-benzene (2:3) afforded (0.1 g) $4b$, m.p. 245-46°C, (Lit³ m.p. 249-50°C); IR 3450 (OH), 880 (epo $xide)$, 820 (\sqrt{c} =CH-) cm⁻¹; TNM: $yellow colour$; $\frac{m}{2}$: 440 (M_{+}^{+}), 425, 407, 389, 300, 203, 189, 108 (base); acetylation with Py-Ac₂0 gave Ac (identified by m.m.p. and CO-TLC).

 Q xidation of acetyl oleanolic acid (3k): The residue (0.45 g) obtained after oxidation of $\frac{3}{5}$ (0.5 g) with SeO₂ (0.2g) and H₂O₂ (3ml) in $\frac{1}{5}$ -BuOH (100 ml) and usual work-up (see general procedure) was chromatographed. Elution with PE-benzene (3:2) furnished $5b$ (0.3 g) was crystallised from CHCl₃-MeOH, m.p. 293-94°C (Lit⁴) 300 C), IR 1765 (Y-lactone), 1720, 1240 (acetate), 880 (epoxide) cm⁻¹; m/₂: 512 $(M⁺)$, 496, 452, 436, 315, 300, 277, 263, 218, 205, 189, 43 (base); ¹H NMR: S 0.87, 0.92, 1.00, 1.05, 1.07, 1.10, 1.11 (7g, 7 Me), 2.06 (g, -0Ac), 2.98 (g, 1H, 4.5 Hz), 3.13 (t, 1H, $J = 5$ Hz), 4.53 (m, 1H, H-C-3-OAc). Further elution with PEbenzene (1:9) afforded $5(0.1 g)$ crystallized from CHCl₇-MeOH, m.p. 269-70[°]C (Lit⁴) m.p. 269-71.5°C), IR 3530, 1770, 880 cm⁻¹; \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} : 470 (M⁺), 455, 452, 207, 189, 95 (base); Ac₂0-Py gave an acetate identical with 50 (m.m.p. and co-IR).

Oxidation of methyl acetyloleanolate 3d: The residue obtained after oxidation (general procedure) of 2d was chromatographed. PE-benzene (3:2) eluted a solid that crystallised from CHCl₃-MeOH, m.p. 292-93[°]C; IR 1765, 1720, 1240, 880 cm⁻¹ which was found identical (m.m.p. and co-IR) with 5b. Further elution with PEbenzene (1:9) furnished solid, m.p. 269-70°C, IR 3530, 1770, 880 cm⁻¹ that was found identical with 5,

Oxidation of methyl acetylursolate 3e: The residue obtained after oxidation of 3e (see general procedure) was chromatographed. Elution of the column with PEbenzene (3:2) furnished $5g$ (0.3 g) crystallised from CHCl₃-MeOH, m.p. 281-82[°]C, IR 1775, 1740, 1250, 885 cm⁻¹; $\frac{\pi}{2}$: 512 (M⁺), 497, 484, 468, 452, 316, 300, 278, 277, 263, 249, 231, 217, 205, 204, 203, 189 (base); ¹H NMR: **S** 0.87, 0.88, 1.06, 1.08, 1.19 (5g, 5-Me), 0.90 and 1.22 (2d, 2-Me, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.00 (g, -Ac), 2.94 $(g_1 \ 11, J = 4 \ 12, -C-12-11),$ 3.18 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.5$ Hz, $-0-C-11-1$, 4.53 (g, 1H, H-C-3-OAc. Further elution with benzene gave solid 5d (0.1 g), m.p. 295-96°C; IR 3300, 1775, 885 cm⁻¹; acetylation with Ac₂0-Py afforded an acetate identical with $5c.$

Oxidation of erythrodiol 3c: On CC of the residue obtained on oxidation of 3c (see general procedure) benzene eluted a single compound 5 , m.p. 266-67 $^{\bullet}$ C; IR 3530, 1770, 880 cm⁻¹; Ac₂0-Py furnished an acetate identical (m.m.p. and co-IR) with 5b.

Oxidation of Uvaol 3f: The residue of the oxidation product (see general procedure) of 3f on CC afforded a solid 5d on elution with benzene, m.p. 292-93°C IR 3300, 1770, 885 cm⁻¹; Ac₂0-Py furnished an acetate identical with $5c$.

Preparation 31 from methyl cratagolate 3h and oxidation of 31: A soln of 3h (1 g) dissolved in dioxan (150 ml) was refluxed with LAH for 4 h. Excess LAH was destroyed with a saturated soln of Na_2SO_h and the product was extracted with a large amount of ether. The solvent was removed and the crude triol $\underline{3i}$ (0.8 g), IR 3300-3600 cm⁻¹ was acetylated with Ac₂0-Py. The triacetate λ 1 (0.7 g), m.p. 179-80°C, IR 1720-1750, 1240-1250, 820 cm⁻¹ was oxidised with SeO₂ and H₂O₂ as detail ed in the general procedure. Elution of the chromatogram with PE-benzene (1:1) furnished 4d (0.3 g) crystallised from CHCl₃-MeOH, m.p. 295-96°C, IR 3400, 1750, 1720, 1280, 1240, 880, 820, cm⁻¹; m/g: 556 (M⁺), 496, 478, 454, 436, 421, 405, 403, 349, 256, 229, 202, 187, 134, 120, 107, 43 (base); ¹H NMR: δ 0.77, 0.81, 0.87, 0.93, 0.96, 0.98, 1.19 (7g, 7 Me), 2.05, 2.08 (2g, 2x -0Ac), 2.80 (d, 1H, J = 5 Hz 12-HC-0-), 3.13 (t, 1H, J = 5 Hz, 11-HC-0-), 3.22 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, 3-HC-0H), 3.7 (ABq, J = 15 Hz, 28-CH₂-OAc), 5.03 (d of t, 1H, 2-CH-OAc, J = 5, 10 Hz), 5.47 (dd, 1H, $J = 2$, 10 Hz) (Found: C, 73.20; H, 9.22. Calc for $C_{34}H_{52}O_6$: C, 73.35; H, 9.41 \mathcal{E} .

Further elution with benzene-EtOAc $(4:1)$ afforded He $(0.2 g)$, crystallised from CHCl_z-MeOH, m.p. 266-67°C, IR 3200-3600 (OH), 1720, 1250 (OAc), 880 (C=CH), cm⁻¹; \mathbf{m}/\mathbf{z} : 514 (M⁺), 472, 454 (base), 439, 421, 317, 255, 230, 201, 187, 135, 108, 69, 55; ¹H NMR: δ 0.87 (g, 2xMe), 2.04 (g, 0Ac), 2.80(g, 1H, J = 5 Hz, -0-C-12 H), 3.03 (d, 1H, J = 10 Hz, HO-C-3 H), 3.13 (t, 1H, J = 5 Hz, -0-C-11 H), 3.72 (ABq, 2H, 28-CH₂-OAc, J = 14 Hz), 3.79 (dt, 1H, J = 4, 12 Hz, HO-C-2 H) and 5.46 (dd, 1H, C=C-15H) ppm). (Found: C, 74.30; H, 9.65. Calc for C₃₂H₅₀O₅: C, 74.67; H, $9.79%$.

Acknowledgements: The authors thanks are due to the Director, CDRI, Lucknow for some of the spectral data and elemental analysis. One of us (S.C.) is thankful to CSIR, New Delhi for the award of a Senior Research Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. Saha, D. B. Naskar, D. R. Misra, B. P. Pradhan and H. N. Khastgir, Tetrahedron Letters, 35, 3095 (1977).
- 2. (i) B. P. Pradhan, S. De, A. Nath and J. N. Shoolery, Phytochemistry, 23, 2593 (1984). (ii) B. P. Pradhan, Proc. (Spl. Issue) Vth ISHS Symp. Med. Arom. Spice Pl., Darjeeling (India), 151 (1985).
- 3. I. Ageta, E. J. Corey, A. G. Hortmann, J. Klein, S. Proskow and J. J. Ursprung, J. Org. Chem., 30, 1698 (1965).
- 4. I. Kitagawa, K. Kitazawa and I. Yosioka, Tetrahedron, 28, 907 (1972).
- 5. P. L. Mazumdar and A. Bagchi, Tetrahedron, 39, 649 (1983).
- 6. L. F. Fieser and M. Fieser, 'Reagents for Organic Synthesis', John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 994 (1967).
- 7. S. Seo. Y. Tomita and K. Tori, Tetrahedron Letters, 1, 7 (1975).
- 8. B. P. Pradhan, M. M. Mukherjee and D. K. Chakraberti and J. N. Shoolery, Indian J. Chem., 22B, 12 (1983).
- 9. L. Ruzicka and S. D. Heineman, Helv. Chim. Acta., 23, 1512 (1940).